

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

*This space for
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Lorenz
 FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 12/27/2007
 CASE NO.: 07cv2122 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
 CASE TITLE: Morris v. Homecomings Financial LLC
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Opposition and Notice

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: <u>Opposition and Notice of Continuance to a court date that is not on file</u> <u>you cannot move a hearing date without</u> <u>leave of court.</u>
		Date forwarded: <u>12/27/2007</u>
		<u>ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Lorenz

Dated: 12-28-07
cc: All Parties

By: [Signature]

REJECTED

Shamelle R. Morris
6544 College Grove Drive #68
San Diego, CA 92115

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Shamelle R. Morris,
Plaintiff,
vs.


HOMEcomings FINANCIAL LLC
Defendant

) Case No: 37-2007-38543-cl
)
) **OPPOSITION AND NOTICE OF**
) **CONTINUANCE OF THE SCHEDULED**
) **HEARING, DATED 12-28-07 DUE TO**
) **CURRENTLY FILED & SCHEDULED**
) **Motion For Summary Judgment ON**
)
) Date: February 11, 2008
) Time: 10:30
) Dept: 214

ALL PARTIES CURRENTLY SERVED

NOTICE TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES OF INTEREST,
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE of OPPOSITION AND NOTICE OF CONTINUANCE OF THE
SCHEDULED HEARING, DATED 12-28-07 DUE TO FILED AND SCHEDULED
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT On or around February 11, 2008 at
10:30PM pm in dept. 214, in the court listed in the above, an
application for order/motion for Summary Judgment will be
supported by memorandum points and authorities, verified
affidavit.

Henceforth Submitted,


Shamelle Morris